## Self-inspecting and self-modifying programs

- Tools in Prolog
- Meta-interpreters (short intro)
- Modifying program while it runs


## Metaprogramming: treating programs as data

Homogeneous format, program $\approx$ data

- Can you tell difference between

Using infix op. for:
' : - $(p(X=, q(X))$ fact $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ and term $\mathbf{p}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$ ? clause $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}):-\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ and term $\mathrm{p}(\mathrm{x}):-\mathrm{q}(\mathrm{x})$ ?

Self-inspection by predicate clause/2.
Works as if any clause head:- body represented dually by fact clause (head, body).

NB: works only when predicates are declared to be dynamic:
:- dynamic father/2, grandfather/2.

## Vanilla: A (meta-)interpreter for Prolog in Prolog

```
solve(true):- !.
solve((A,B)):- !, solve(A), solve(B).
solve(A):- clause(A,B), solve(B)
Querying it:
?- father(X,Y).
write('Try: '),
write((A:- B))
write('Success: '),
write((A:- B))
X = john, Y = mary ? ;
X = john, Y = karen
?- solve(father(X,Y)).
X = john, Y = mary ? ;
X = john, Y = karen
Why on earth...???
You can modify it, e.g.,
- add test prints
```



A more advanced example:
Relaxation by taxonomy,
if subgoal empty, step up in taxonomy dog? empty! animal? cat? nonempty :)

- change order of evaluation $\sim$


## Modifying the program while it runs

Add new last clause: assertz (clause)
Add new first clause: asserta (clause)
Delete first clause unifying pattern: retract (clause)
?- father (X,Y).
$\mathrm{X}=$ peter, $\mathrm{Y}=$ karen ?; // no
?- asserta(father(john,mary)), assertz(father(john, paul)).
yes
?- father ( $\mathrm{X}, \mathrm{Y}$ ).
$\mathrm{X}=\mathrm{john}, \mathrm{Y}=$ mary ? ;
$\mathrm{X}=$ peter, $\mathrm{Y}=$ karen ?;
$\mathrm{x}=\mathrm{john}, \mathrm{y}=$ paul $? ; / /$ no
?- \+ (retract(father(john,X)), fail). // yes
?- father (X,Y).
$\mathrm{X}=$ peter, $\mathrm{Y}=$ karen ? ; // no

## Applications for AI

- Metainterpreters, for modifying execution strategy, adding "meta-rules" (a la expert systems)
- Defining backward/forward chaining with assert for "modifying the fact base"
- (I have never tried this; a good exercise ...)
- Later we introduce Constraint Handling Rules in which these things can be explained in more clean way.

